

Proposal Title :		Reclassification of Council surplus lands at Byrne, Belgium and Fraser Streets, Auburn from Community land to Operational land.			
Proposal Summ		The draft planning proposal seeks to reclassify the subject lands at 2 Byrne Street, 3 Belgium Street and Lots 18 and 42 Fraser Street, Auburn from Community to Operational Land.			
PP Number :	PP_2011_AUBU	R_007_00	Dop File No :	11/16401	
Proposal Details	3				
Date Planning Proposal Recei	31-Aug-2011 ved :		LGA covered :	Auburn	
Region :	Sydney Region W	est	RPA :	Auburn Cou	ncil
State Electorate	: AUBURN		Section of the Act :	55 - Planning	Proposal
LEP Type :	Reclassification				
Location Detail	S				
Street :	2 Byrne Street				
Suburb :	Auburn	City :	Auburn	Postcode :	2144
Land Parcel :	Lot 11 DP 245064				
Street :	3 Belgium Street				
Suburb :	Auburn	City :	Auburn	Postcode :	2144
Land Parcel :	Lot 5 DP 8215				
Street :	Lot 18				
Suburb :	Auburn	City :	Auburn	Postcode :	2144
Land Parcel :	Lot 18 DP 224829				
Street :	Lot 42				
Suburb :	Auburn	City :	Auburn	Postcode :	2144
Land Parcel :	Lot 42 DP 224829				

DoP Planning Officer Contact Details

Contact Name :	Claire Mirow		
Contact Number :	0298738597		
Contact Email :	claire.mirow@planning.nsw.gov.au		
RPA Contact Details			

Contact Name :	Jacky Wilkes
Contact Number :	0297351310
Contact Email :	jacky.wilkes@auburn.nsw.gov.au

DoP Project Manager Contact Details

Contact Name :

Contact Number :

Contact Email :

Land Release Data

Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro West Central subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	No		
If No, comment :	Please see INTERNAL NOTES for more information.		
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment :	Please see INTERNAL NOTES for	more information.	
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	In July 2010, Council carried out a Comprehensive Review of its Property Portfolio during the preparation of the draft Auburn LEP 2010. During this review, the parcels of land at Belgium Street, Byrne Street and Fraser Street, Auburn were identified as surplus to Councils open space needs and required disposal.		
	The rezoning of the subject sites was dealt with in the comprehensive Auburn LEP 2010 process.		
	LOBBYIST STATEMENT At this time, to the best of the Reg	ional Team's knowledge, the	re has been no meetings or

communications with lobbyists regarding this planning proposal.

External Supporting Notes :

Adequacy Assessment

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment : The application clearly identifies the objectives of the planning proposal to enable Council to dispose of the surplus lands at Byrne, Belgium and Fraser Streets, Auburn and allow the land classification to be consistent with the current zoning policy.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

Amend the provisions in the Auburn LEP 2010 pertaining to the reclassification of lands as identified below:

- Addition of 3 Belgium Street, Lots 18 and 42 Fraser Street, Auburn in Schedule 4, Part 1 -Land classified, or reclassified, as operational land - no interests changed; and

- Addition of 2 Byrne Street, Auburn in Schedule 4, Part 2 - Land classified, or reclassified, as operational land - interests changed.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

- * May need the Director General's agreement
- 3.1 Residential Zones
- 3.3 Home Occupations 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
- 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
- 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified? SEPP No 1-Development Standards SEPP No 4—Development Without Consent and Miscellaneous **Exempt and Complying Development** SEPP No 19-Bushland in Urban Areas SEPP No 55-Remediation of Land SEPP No 62—Sustainable Aquaculture SEPP No 64—Advertising and Signage SEPP No 70-Affordable Housing (Revised Schemes) SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 **SEPP (Temporary Structures and Places of Public Entertainment)** 2007 SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009 Practice note 090-003 'Classification and reclassification of public land through a local e) List any other environmental plan' should be considered as it provides guidance on the matters that need to reclassification of public land through the Local Environmental Plan. be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes				
If No, explain :				
Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)				
Is mapping provided?	Is mapping provided? Yes			
Comment :	The planning proposal includes locality maps which identify the location and current land use zoning of the subject sites under the Auburn Local Environmental Plan 2010.			
Community consultation - s55(2)(e)				
Has community consultation been proposed? Yes				
Comment :	The planning proposal includes a reference to a comprehensive engagement strategy which will be prepared by Council and provides details of the community consultation process which will be undertaken.			
	Pursuant to clause 34 of the Local Government Act 1993, the Planning Proposal must be exhibited for a minimum of 28 days.			
	A public hearing is also required to be undertaken after the close of the exhibition period in accordance with the Department of Planning and Infrastructure's Practice Note PN 09-003, as the planning proposal involves the reclassification of community land to operational land.			
Additional Director	General's requirements			
Are there any additional	Director General's requirements? No			
If Yes, reasons :				
Overall adequacy of	Overall adequacy of the proposal			
Does the proposal meet	Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes			
If No, comment :				
Proposal Assessment				
Principal LEP:				
Due Date :				
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	The principal LEP was completed in October 2010.			
Assessment Criteria				
Need for planning proposal :	The need for the planning proposal has been adequately addressed.			
· · · · · · ·	The planning proposal is the outcome of Council's resolution at Ordinary Council meeting on 21 July 2010, to reclassify the subject sites from Community to Operational lands.			
	Council has indicated that the subject sites are surplus to Council's open space requirements and that the reclassification of the subject sites will increase land compatibility with the surrounding land uses.			
	The land is already zoned for urban purposes and the reclassification will allow the land to be developed.			

Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The planning proposal is consistent with the strategic planning framework, including the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036, Metropolitan Strategy and West Central Draft Subgregional Strategy.
Environmental social economic impacts :	It is considered that the planning proposal will not have any significant environmental, social or economic implications, given that no land use change is proposed as part of the reclassification LEP.
	The reclassification of the subject lands from 'Community' to 'Operational' will enable Council to dispose of the surplus lands. The funding secured from the sale of the sites will financially benefit Council, enabling other critical issues in the LGA to be addressed.

Assessment Process

Proposal type :	Routine		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	6 Month	Ľ	Delegation :	DDG	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d :)				
Is Public Hearing by th	ne PAC required?	No			
(2)(a) Should the matt	er proceed ?	Yes			
If no, provide reasons	If no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2	Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No				
If Yes, reasons :	If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional	Identify any additional studies, if required. :				
If Other, provide reaso	ons :	•			
Identify any internal co	Identify any internal consultations, if required :				
No internal consultat	No internal consultation required				
Is the provision and fu	Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No				
If Yes, reasons :	If Yes, reasons : Any impact of development arising after the re-sale of the subject lands on the demand on public infrastructure will be insubstantial.			the demand	
Documents					
Document File Name			DocumentType Na	ime	Is Public
Planning Proposal - I	Reclassification of Cou	uncil Surplus	Proposal	Proposal Ye	
	ity Council (31 Augus Reclassification of Co Operational.pdf		Proposal Coverin	g Letter	Yes

PI	anning Team Recomm	iendation		
	Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions			
	S.117 directions:	3.1 Residential Zones		
		3.3 Home Occupations		
		4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils		
		6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements		
		7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036		
	Additional Information :	It is recommended the Planning Proposal proceeds subject to the following conditions:		
		1. The Planning Proposal be exhibited for 28 days in accordance clause 34 of the Local Government Act 1993.		
		2. Practice Note PN09-003 be included in the exhibition material.		
		3. A public hearing is to be held in accordance with section 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and section 29 of the Local Government Act 1993, following the public exhibition period.		
		4. The timeframe for completing the planning proposal is 6 months.		
	Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal involves the reclassification of lands from Community to Operational, will not alter any zoning controls relating to the properties, and will allow the land to be used for the purpose that it is zoned.		
	8	Call.		
	Signature:			
	Printed Name:	Tim Archer Date: 16/9/11		